his wife. This permission clearly tells against the that was the mere pollution of death which obliged him to office resign his wife died. Further, and this is a point of fundamental difference between the two cases, whereas the Flamen Dialis was bound to married, and married too by a rite of special solemnity,1 there such obligation, on the sacred dairyman of the Todas; indeed, if he is married, he is bound to live apart from his wife during term office. Surely the obligation laid on the Flamen married of itself implies that with the death of his he necessarily ceased to hold office: there is no need to search reason in the pollution of death which, as I have just shown, does seem to square with the permission granted to the Flamen to attend a funeral. That this is indeed the true explanation of rule question Is strongly suggested by the further and apparently parallel rule which forbade the Flamen to divorce his wife; nothing death might part them.3 Now the rule which enjoined Flamen must be married, and the rule which forbade divorce to his wife, have obviously nothing to do with the pollution of death. vet they can hardly be separated from the other rule that with death of his wife he vacated office. All three rules are explained the most natural way on the hypothesis which I adopted, namely, that this married priest and priestess had to common certain rites which the husband could not perform without his wife. The same obvious solution of the problem suggested long ago by Plutarch, who, after asking why the Flamen Dialis to lay down office on the death of his wife, says, amongst other things, that " perhaps it is because she performs sacred rites with him (for many of the rites may not be performed presence of a married woman), and to marry another wife immedi-

¹ Gaius, Insiit. i. 112, "quod jus edition (Leipsic, 1878).
etiain nostris temporilms iti usu est: ² W. H. R. Rivers,
The J^odas^ p.
nam flamincs majores^ id est Diales,
an old account,
Alarlfalt's, Qiiirmales, item reges sacro- there was an

important exception to rum, nisi (qui) ex farreatis nati sunt the rule, but Dr. Rivers was not able iion legitntnr: ac ne ipsi quidem sine understood that during to verify it; he confarreatione sacerdotium habere posthe tenure of his office the dairyman is really celibate.

³ Aulus Gellius, x. sunt "; Servius on Virgil, Aen. iv. 103, "quaeres adfarreatasnuptiaspertinet) 15.23, " Matriq nib its flcwiineui et Jlaniinicani jure monium flaminis nisi morte dirimi jus pontificio in matrimom-um necesse est non est"; Fest 89, ed. C. O. convex ire" For a fuller description M tiller, s.v* "Flammeo"; Plutarch, non est"; Festus, of the rite see Servius, on Virgil, Aen. Romanae 50. Plutarch **Qttaestiones** Romanae^ iv. 374. From the testimony of Gaius mentions as an illegal exception that it appears that not only the Flamen in his own time the Emperor Domitian Dialis but all the other principal allowed a Flamen to divorce his wife, Flam ens were bound to be married. but the ceremony of the divorce was However, the text of Gaius "many awful, strange, passage is somewhat uncertain. text of Gaius in this attended by I have and gloomv rites " performed by the quoted it from P. E. Huschke's third priests.